

A six-year descriptive analysis of hospitalisations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions among people born in refugee-source countries

Ignacio Correa-Velez ^{1§}, Zahid Ansari ², Vijaya Sundararajan ³, Kaye Brown ², Sandra M Gifford ¹

¹Refugee Health Research Centre, La Trobe University, Victoria 3086, Australia

²Chronic Disease Surveillance and Epidemiology Section, Public Health Branch, Department of Human Services, 15/50 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia

³Health Information Section, Health Surveillance and Evaluation Section, Department of Human Services, 15/50 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia

[§]Corresponding author. Address for correspondence: QPASTT, 50 Shottery St, Yeronga, QLD 4104 Australia.

Email addresses:

IC-V: i.correa-velez@latrobe.edu.au

ZA: Zahid.Ansari@dhs.vic.gov.au

VS: Vijaya.Sundararajan@dhs.vic.gov.au

KB: Kaye.Brown@dhs.vic.gov.au

SMG: s.gifford@latrobe.edu.au

Abstract

Background

Hospitalisation for ambulatory care sensitive conditions (ACSHs) has become a recognised tool to measure access to primary care. Timely and effective outpatient care is highly relevant to refugee populations given the past exposure to torture and trauma, and poor access to adequate health care in their countries of origin and during flight. Little is known about ACSHs among resettled refugee populations. This study analysed a six-year state-wide hospital discharge dataset to estimate ACSH rates for residents born in refugee-source countries and compared them with the Australia-born population.

Methods

Hospital discharge data between 1 July 1998 and 30 June 2004 from the Victorian Admitted Episodes Dataset were used to assess ACSH rates among residents born in eight refugee-source countries, and compare them with the Australia-born average. Rate ratios and 95% confidence levels were used to illustrate these comparisons. Four categories of ambulatory care sensitive conditions were measured: total, acute, chronic and vaccine-preventable. Country of birth was used as a proxy indicator of refugee status.

Results

When compared with the Australia-born population, hospitalizations for total and acute ambulatory care sensitive conditions were lower among refugee-born persons over the six-year period. Chronic and vaccine-preventable ACSHs were largely similar between the two population groups.

Conclusions

Despite emerging evidence of poorer health status, preventable hospitalisation rates among people born in refugee-source countries are no higher than Australia-born population averages. These findings suggest that resettled refugees face a number of access barriers in primary health care settings. It is important to unpack dimensions of access in refugee populations through ad-hoc surveys as the refugee population is not a homogenous group despite sharing a common experience of forced displacement and violence-related trauma.

Background

Hospitalisation for ambulatory care sensitive conditions (ACSHs) has become a recognised tool to measure access to primary care [1-3]. Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSCs) have been defined as those conditions for which “the provision of timely and effective outpatient care can help to reduce the risks of hospitalization by either preventing the onset of an illness or condition, controlling an acute episodic illness or condition, or managing a chronic disease or condition” [4](p.163).

The rate of ACSHs has been found to be influenced by a number of factors such as income [1, 5], health insurance status [6], access to regular primary care [7], continuity of care [8], and race and ethnicity [3]. Minority race/ethnic groups have been observed to be at greater risk of being hospitalised for a preventable condition [3, 9-11] and their higher hospitalisation rates persist after adjusting for disease prevalence [9].

Given the challenges of the growing problem of forced displacement, and in view of the internationalisation of refugee resettlement [12], it is important for governments, public health professionals, and health service providers in resettlement countries to obtain evidence on humanitarian arrivals’ access to primary care and preventable hospitalisation. Timely and effective outpatient care is highly relevant to refugee populations given the past exposure to torture and trauma, and poor access to adequate health care in their countries of origin and during flight [13, 14]. ACSHs among refugee populations remain largely undocumented. This study analysed a six-year state-wide hospital discharge dataset to estimate ACSH rates for residents born in refugee-source countries and compared them with the Australia-born population. Australia has a publicly-funded universal health care scheme for all citizens and permanent residents, including humanitarian entrants, which provides access to free treatment in public hospitals, and free or subsidised treatment by general practitioners, specialists and optometrists.

Methods

Data overview

We used hospital discharge data between 1 July 1998 and 30 June 2004 from the Victorian Admitted Episodes Dataset (VAED). The VAED contains data on all admitted patients from public and private acute hospitals in the state of Victoria. It also includes admitted patient activity submitted by acute facilities in rehabilitation and extended care institutions and day procedure centres [15]. Although VAED is an administrative dataset that is collected for operational reasons, it provides useful information about acute health care use and health-related outcomes. Clinical data are stored as ICD-10-AM [16] codes in 25 (from 1998/99 to 2002/03) to 40 (2003/04) diagnosis and procedures fields. We obtained permission to use de-identified data from the Victorian Department of Human Services.

Study population

In this study, country of birth was used as a proxy indicator of refugee status. The VAED lacks data on immigration status and on ethnicity beyond country of birth (with the exemption of Indigenous Australians). Refugee-source countries are defined as those countries where large numbers of people have been forcibly displaced due to

persecution, armed conflict and war [13]. In this study, we have included eight countries for which the majority of entrants to Victoria have arrived under the immigration humanitarian program [17, 18]. These countries are: Afghanistan, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Burma, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Iraq, Somalia and Sudan.

Definition of Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSCs)

We used the classification of ACSC applied in the Victorian Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions Study [19], which draws from previous research [6, 20]. According to this classification, there are three categories of ACSCs:

- (a) Acute ACSCs (reducing morbidity and pain through timely and appropriate treatment): This category includes acute disease for which hospitalisation is avoidable, for example, dehydration/gastroenteritis, kidney infection, perforated ulcer, cellulitis, pelvic inflammatory disease, ear, nose and throat infections (ENT) and dental conditions. In this category, the conditions may not be preventable but theoretically do not result in hospitalisation if adequate and timely primary care is received.
- (b) Chronic ACSCs (reducing the effect of chronic disease and prolonging life): This category includes selected chronic diseases for which hospitalisation is avoidable, for example, diabetes, asthma, angina, hypertension, congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). In this category, the conditions may be preventable through behaviour modification and lifestyle change, but they can also be managed effectively through primary care to prevent deterioration and hospitalisation.
- (c) Vaccine-preventable ACSCs (reducing the incidence of preventable diseases): This includes hospitalisation for influenza, bacterial pneumonia, tetanus, measles, mumps, rubella, pertussis and polio-conditions for which vaccination is available. In this category, the actual conditions are deemed to be preventable, rather than the hospitalisation. There is, however, a misclassification bias with respect to vaccine-preventable ACSC in the context of this study. Admissions due to vaccine-preventable ACSC cannot be assessed among children born in Australia to refugee families because they are classified as Australia-born.

The ACSCs identified using the ICD-10-AM codes in the diagnosis fields of the VAED are shown in Table 1.

ACSC admission rates and rate ratios

ACSC admission rates were age-standardised using the direct method [21]. Annual Victorian population estimates for most of the refugee-source countries included in this analysis were not readily available. Therefore, population figures for individual refugee-source countries by age category (0-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65+) were estimated by adding the number of arrivals in Victoria born in that country [17] to the number of individuals recorded at the previous census [22]. The Australia-born population was estimated using figures from the 1996 and 2001 censuses [22]. The estimated resident population of Victoria by age category was used as the standard population. A random sample of 100,000 Australia-born admissions was taken for each year. Rate ratios are used throughout the paper to compare the ACSC between

Australia-born and refugee-source country-born [21]. A rate ratio greater than 1.0 indicates that ACSC admissions were higher for refugee-source-country-born than for Australia-born persons. Confidence levels of 95% are used to assess and report the precision of ACSC admission rates and rate ratios. We used the method based on the gamma distribution [23] to calculate confidence intervals for age-adjusted rates.

Results

The number and standardised ratios of total, acute, chronic, and vaccine-preventable ACSHs for persons born in refugee-source countries over the six-year period (1998/99 and 2003/04) is shown in Table 2.

Total ambulatory care sensitive conditions

Between 1998 and 2004, standardised rates of admissions for total ACSCs doubled among the refugee-source country-born, from 18.7 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 16.1 – 21.7] in 1998/99 to 35.5 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 32.5 – 38.7] in 2003/04. Among the Australia-born population, the rates of total ACSCs admissions also increased from 31.9 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 31.3 – 32.6] in 1998/99 to 43.2 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 42.4 – 44.1] in 2003/04. Figure 1 compares total ACSCs admission rate ratios between refugee-source country-born and the Australia-born average.

Acute ambulatory care sensitive conditions

For the refugee-source country-born, rates of acute ACSC admissions doubled between 1998/99 and 2003/04, increasing from 6.4 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 5.1 – 8.1] in 1998/99 to 16.7 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 11.4 – 14.8] in 2003/04. Among the Australia-born, admission rates of acute ACSCs also increased from 12.9 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 12.5 – 13.3] in 1998/99 to 16.7 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 16.2 – 17.3] in 2003/04. A comparison of acute ACSC admission rate ratios between the two population groups is presented in Figure 2.

Chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions

The standardised rates of admission for chronic ACSCs doubled among the refugee-source country-born between 1998/99 and 2003/04. Rates increased from 11.4 admissions per 1000 persons [95%CI, 9.3 – 14.0] in 1998/99 to 22.2 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 19.7 – 25.0] in 2003/04. Rates of admissions amongst the Australia-born increased from 17.9 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 17.4 – 18.5] in 1998/99 to 26.2 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 25.5 – 27.0] in 2003/04. Figure 3 compares chronic ACSC admission rate ratios between the refugee-source country-born and the Australia-born over the six-year period.

Vaccine-preventable ambulatory care sensitive conditions

There was no consistent pattern of admission rates for vaccine-preventable ACSCs among the refugee-source country-born population over the six-year period. The lowest admission rate was 0.7 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 0.4 – 1.4] in 2000/01 and the highest was 1.2 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 0.7 – 2.2] in 1999/2000. Among the Australia-born, the rates of vaccine-preventable ACSC admissions decreased from 1.4 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 1.3 – 1.6] in 1998/99 to 0.8 per 1000 persons [95%CI, 0.7 – 1.0] in 2003/04. A comparison of vaccine-preventable ACSC admission rate ratios between the two groups is shown in Figure 4.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study that has investigated ACSHs in resettled refugee populations. Our study has found lower total and acute rates and similar chronic and vaccine-preventable rates of ACSHs among refugee-source country-born persons when compared to the Australia-born population.

A number of studies have previously examined the effects of race and ethnicity on ACSHs in industrialised countries. Shah et al [24] found that the Aboriginal population in Ontario, Canada, had significantly higher admission rates for ACSCs compared with the general Ontario population. Similarly, Stamp et al [11] reported higher ACSHs among Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations than non-Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders. Other studies have consistently found higher preventable hospitalisation rates among African Americans and Hispanics than non-Hispanic whites in the USA [3, 9, 10].

The effects of immigration status on ACSHs have been scarcely investigated. Glazier et al [25] examined ACSHs in high recent-immigration areas in Toronto, Canada, and found that the areas with the highest quintile of recent immigration reported the highest relative rates of ACSHs. In contrast, a study by DeLia [26] used births to immigrant mothers as a proxy for immigrant population in the USA and found this variable to be associated with lower ACSHs. These studies however did not discriminate across immigrant categories.

Given the lack of international literature on ACSHs among refugee communities it is not possible to determine whether the findings of our study are unique to Australia or may apply to other resettlement countries. Although refugees may share some of the characteristics of other immigrants, they have experienced extraordinary circumstances of stress and trauma prior to entering the country of resettlement, and therefore are likely to have poorer health status, particular vulnerability to specific health conditions, and higher need to health care [14]. In this context, it might be hypothesised that refugee populations have higher rates of hospital admissions, including ACSHs, than the native-born. On the contrary, we have previously found either similar or lower rates of hospital utilisation among residents born in refugee-source countries compared with the Australia-born population [27]. Our findings demonstrate also either similar or lower rates of ACSHs among the refugee-source country-born population.

There are at least two possible explanations for these findings. First, our results may indicate that recent refugee arrivals have lower morbidity, as measured by ACSHs, thus confirming the ‘healthy migrant effect’ [14]. The health requirement that refugees undergo before entering Australia may be selecting those who are healthier [28]. Nevertheless, there is recent evidence of important health issues in primary care settings (i.e. inadequate vaccinations, nutritional deficiencies, infectious/parasitic diseases, dental disease) among newly arrived refugees in Australia and of the need for comprehensive health assessments for this population [29, 30]. Second, the similar/lower rates of ACSHs among the refugee-source country-born may suggest that refugee patients are being provided with ‘timely and effective outpatient care’ hence reducing the risks of preventable hospitalisations. However, while State governments in Australia are implementing a number of strategies to address the health care needs of recently arrived refugee communities [31], there is emerging

evidence of the multiple barriers that affect access to primary and acute health care among this population [29, 32, 33]. Among these barriers are language barriers, financial disadvantage, lack of information about health issues, and poor understanding of how to access health care facilities [32]. Free or subsidised medical care, like the one provided in a number of refugee resettlement countries, “does not imply equal access to services nor equity in service” [34] (p.165).

Our study has several limitations. First, the lack of baseline information on immigration status for people attending acute health care settings prompted the use of country of birth as a proxy for refugee status. Our estimates indicate that approximately 80% of arrivals between 1996 and 2004 born in the eight refugee-source countries entered Australia under the humanitarian resettlement program [27]. Second, as data reporting disease prevalence by country of birth was not readily available for the selected refugee-source countries, we were unable to adjust for this variable. This is important as “differing disease prevalence might account for differences in preventable hospitalization” [9] (p.249). However, previous research has found that, independent of prevalence, better access to health care in Victoria was associated with lower ACSH rates [2]. Third, the analyses could not be extended to smaller areas such as the local governments and primary care partnerships due to small numbers. Small area analyses on refugee populations along with ad-hoc surveys will be useful in identifying access barriers refugees face in primary care settings. These access barriers can be economic, structural, geographic, or cultural. Unpacking these dimensions of access will have the potential to provide the basis for policy makers to develop targeted public health and health services interventions.

Conclusions

This study represents a significant step towards the development of an evidence-based knowledge around resettled refugee populations and preventable hospitalisation. Despite emerging evidence of important health issues among recently arrived refugee populations, we have found that preventable hospitalisation rates among people born in refugee-source countries are either similar or lower than Australia-born averages. These findings suggest that resettled refugees face a number of access barriers in primary health care settings. It is important to unpack dimensions of access in refugee populations through ad-hoc surveys as the refugee population is not a homogenous group despite sharing a common experience of forced displacement and violence-related trauma. Factors such as ethnicity, gender, culture, socio-economic and educational status, health systems and services in the country of origin, and the particular contexts of displacement, flight, and resettlement play an important role in a refugee’s experience of ill health and, consequently, of health care utilisation and health-related outcomes in countries of resettlement.

Abbreviations

ACSCs – Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions

ACSH – Hospitalisation for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions

ICD-10-AM – International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, Australian Modification

VAED – Victorian Admitted Episodes Dataset

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

IC-V participated in the design of the study, conducted the statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript.

ZA provided advice on the conceptualisation and measurement of ACSCs, design of the study and data analysis, and reviewed the manuscript.

VS participated in the study design, provided advice on data analysis, and reviewed the manuscript.

KB participated in the design of the study and reviewed the manuscript.

SMG participated in the design of the study and reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding support from the Refugee Health Research Centre, La Trobe University, and in-kind support from the Chronic Disease Surveillance and Epidemiology Section, Victorian Department of Human Services. The VAED data was supplied by the Victorian Department of Human Services. The paper presents the findings and conclusions of the authors; it does not necessarily represent the views of the Victorian Department of Human Services.

References

1. Billings J, Anderson GM, Newman LS: **Recent findings on preventable hospitalizations.** *Health Aff* 1996, **15**:239-249.
2. Ansari Z, Laditka JN, Laditka SB: **Access to health care and hospitalization for ambulatory care sensitive conditions.** *Med Care Res Rev* 2006, **63**:719-741.
3. Laditka JN, Laditka SB, Mastanduno MP: **Hospital utilization for ambulatory care sensitive conditions: health outcome disparities associated with race and ethnicity.** *Soc Sci Med* 2003, **57**:1429-1441.
4. Billings J, Zeitel L, Lukomnic J, Carey TS, Blank AE, Newman L: **Impact of socioeconomic status on hospital use in New York City.** *Health Aff* 1993, **Spring**:162-173.
5. Blustein J, Hanson K, Shea S: **Preventable hospitalizations and socioeconomic status.** *Health Aff* 1998, **17**:177-189.
6. Weissman JS, Gatsonis C, Epstein AM: **Rates of avoidable hospitalization by insurance status in Massachusetts and Maryland.** *JAMA* 1992, **268**:2388-2394.
7. Falik M, Needleman J, Wells BL, Korb J: **Ambulatory care sensitive hospitalizations and emergency visits: experiences of Medicaid patients using federally qualified health centers.** *Med Care* 2001, **39**:551-561.
8. Gill JM, Mainous III AG: **The role of provider continuity in preventing hospitalizations.** *Arch Fam Med* 1998, **7**:352-357.
9. Laditka JN, Laditka SB: **Race, ethnicity and hospitalization for six chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions in the USA.** *Ethn Health* 2006, **11**:247-263.
10. Gaskin DJ, Hoffman C: **Racial and ethnic differences in preventable hospitalizations across 10 states.** *Med Care Res Rev* 2000, **57**:85-107.
11. Stamp KM, Duckett SJ, Fisher DA: **Hospital use for potentially preventable conditions in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and other Australian populations.** *Aust N Z J Public Health* 1998, **22**:673-678.
12. UNHCR: *The State of the World's Refugees: Human Displacement in the New Millenium.* New York: Oxford University Press Inc; 2006.
13. Thomas SL, Thomas SDM: **Displacement and Health.** *Br Med Bull* 2004, **69**:115-127.
14. Beiser M: **The Health of Immigrants and Refugees in Canada.** *Can J Public Health* 2005, **96**:S30-S44.

15. Acute Health Division: *The Victorian Admitted Episodes Dataset: an overview*. Melbourne, Victoria: Department of Human Services; 2001.
16. University of Sydney: *ICD-10-AM. Australian Coding Standards, Volume 5 of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, Australian Modification (ICD-10-AM)*. 2nd edn. Sydney: Centre for Classification of Health, Faculty of Health Sciences; 2000.
17. Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs: *Settlement Database*. Canberra: DIMA; 2005.
18. Jupp J (Ed.). **The Australian People. An Encyclopedia of the Nation, Its People and Their Origins**. Oakleigh, Victoria: Cambridge University Press; 2001.
19. Ansari MZ, Henderson T: *The Victorian Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions Study: Opportunities for Targeting Public Health and Health Services Interventions*. Melbourne, Victoria: Department of Human Services; 2002.
20. Millman M (Ed.). **Access to Health Care in America: Report of a study by the Committee on Monitoring Access to Personal Health Care Services, Institute of Medicine**. Washington: National Academy Press; 1993.
21. Kirkwood BR, Sterne JAC: *Essential Medical Statistics*. 2nd edn. Malden, Massachusetts: Blackwell Science; 2003.
22. Australian Bureau of Statistics: **Census of Population and Housing, 1996 and 2001. Number of persons by sex, by age, by birthplace of individual, by area of usual residence (Victoria and Australia)**. Canberra: ABS; 2005.
23. Fay MP, Feuer EJ: **Confidence intervals for directly standardized rates: A method based on the gamma distribution**. *Stat Med* 1997, **16**:791 - 801.
24. Shah BR, Gunraj N, Hux JE: **Markers of access to and quality of primary care for aboriginal people in Ontario, Canada**. *Am J Public Health* 2003, **93**:798-802.
25. Glazier RH, Creatore MI, Cortinois AA, Agha MM, Moineddin R: **Neighbourhood recent immigration and hospitalization in Toronto, Canada**. *Can J Public Health* 2004, **95**:I30-I34.
26. DeLia D: **Distributional issues in the analysis of preventable hospitalizations**. *Health Serv Res* 2003, **38**:1761-1779.
27. Correa-Velez I, Sundararajan V, Brown K, Gifford SM: **Hospital utilisation amongst people born in refugee-source countries: An analysis of six-year hospital admissions in Victoria, Australia**. *MJA*, in press.
28. Department of Immigration and Citizenship: *Fact Sheet 22: The Health Requirement*. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia; 2007.

29. Tiong ACD, Patel MS, Gardiner J, Ryan R, Linton KSW, K A, Scopel J, Biggs B-A: **Health Issues in newly arrived African refugees attending general practice clinics in Melbourne.** *MJA* 2006, **185**:602-606.
30. Martin JA, Mak DB: **Changing faces: a review of infectious disease screening of refugees by the Migrant Health Unit, Western Australia in 2003 and 2004.** *MJA* 2006, **185**:607-610.
31. Victorian Department of Human Services: *Refugee health and wellbeing action plan.* Melbourne: Policy Projects Branch, Victorian Government Department of Human Services; 2005.
32. Sheikh-Mohammed M, MacIntyre CR, Wood NJ, Leask J, Isaacs D: **Barriers to access to health care for newly resettled sub-Saharan refugees in Australia.** *MJA* 2006, **185**:594-597.
33. Davidson N, Skull S, Burgner D, Kelly P, Raman S, Silove D, Steel Z, Vora R, Smith M: **An issue of access: Delivering equitable health care for newly arrived refugee children in Australia.** *J Paediatr Child Health* 2004, **40**:569-575.
34. Kliewer EV, Butler JRG: *Hospital morbidity patterns and costs of immigrants in Australia.* Canberra: National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Australian National University; 1995.

Figure legends

Figure 1 – Total ACSCs Admission Rate Ratios – Refugee-source country- born (Australia-born = 1), 1998/99 to 2003/04

Figure 2 – Acute ACSCs Admission Rate Ratios – Refugee-source country- born (Australia-born = 1), 1998/99 to 2003/04

Figure 3 – Chronic ACSCs Admission Rate Ratios – Refugee-source country- born (Australia-born = 1), 1998/99 to 2003/04

Figure 4 – Vaccine-preventable ACSCs Admission Rate Ratios – Refugee- source country- born (Australia-born = 1), 1998/99 to 2003/04

Tables

Table 1 – Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSC) and ICD-10-AM codes used in the analyses

Category	ICD-10-AM codes	Notes
Influenza and pneumonia	J10 J11 J13 J14 J153 J154 J157 J159 J168 J181 J188	In any diagnosis field, excludes cases with secondary diagnosis of D57, and people under 2 months
Other vaccine preventable	A35 A36 A37 A80 B05 B06 B161 B169 B180 B181 B26 G000 M014	In any diagnosis field
Asthma	J45 J46	Principal diagnosis only
Congestive heart failure	I50 I110 J81	Principal diagnosis only
Diabetes complications	E101 to E108, E110 to E118, E130 to E138, E140 to E148	In any diagnosis field
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	J20 J41 J42 J43 J44 J47	Principal diagnosis only, J20 only with diag2 of J41 J42 J43 J44 J47
Angina	I20 I240 I248 I249	Principal diagnosis only, excludes cases with procedure codes NOT in blocks 1820 to 2140
Iron deficiency anaemia	D501 D508 D509	Principal diagnosis only
Hypertension	I10 I119	Principal diagnosis only
Nutritional deficiencies	E40 to E43, E550 E643	Principal diagnosis only
Dehydration and gastroenteritis	E86 K522 K528 K529	Principal diagnosis only
Pyelonephritis	N10 N11 N12 N136 N390	Principal diagnosis only
Perforated/bleeding ulcer	K250 K251 K252 K254 K255 K256 K260 K261 K262 K264 K265 K266 K270 K271 K272 K274 K275 K276 K280 K281 K282 K284 K285 K286	Principal diagnosis only
Cellulitis	L03 L04 L08 L88 L980 L983	Principal diagnosis only, excludes cases with any procedure except those in blocks 1820 to 2016 of if procedure is 30216-02 30676-00 30223-02 30064-00 34527-01 34527-00 90661-00 and this is the only listed procedure
Pelvic inflammatory disease	N70 N73 N74	Principal diagnosis only
Ear, nose and throat infections	H66 H67 J02 J03 J06 J312	Principal diagnosis only
Dental conditions	K02 to K06, K08 K098 K099 K12 K13	Principal diagnosis only
Convulsions and epilepsy	O15 G40 G41 R56	Principal diagnosis only
Gangrene	R02	In any diagnosis field

Table 2 – Number of hospital admissions (N), standardised ratios (SR) and 95% confidence levels (95%CI) for total, acute, chronic, and vaccine-preventable ambulatory care sensitive conditions (ACSCs) for persons born in refugee-source countries, 1998/99 to 2003/04

ACSCs	1998/99		1999/2000		2000/01		2001/02		2002/03		2003/04		Total N
	N	SR (95% CI)	N	SR (95% CI)	N	SR (95% CI)	N	SR (95% CI)	N	SR (95% CI)	N	SR (95% CI)	
Total	254	18.7 (16.1 – 21.7)	298	21.4 (18.6 – 24.5)	518	29.8 (27.0 – 32.8)	572	32.4 (29.5 – 35.6)	569	31.9 (29.0 – 35.0)	680	35.5 (32.5 – 38.7)	2891
Acute	112	6.4 (5.1 – 8.1)	145	7.8 (6.4 – 9.6)	199	8.1 (6.9 – 9.5)	259	11.7 (10.1 – 13.4)	249	10.5 (9.0 – 12.1)	322	13.0 (11.4 – 14.8)	1286
Chronic	124	11.4 (9.3 – 14.0)	138	12.5 (10.3 – 15.2)	307	21.2 (18.8 – 23.9)	296	20.1 (17.7 – 22.7)	311	21.1 (18.7 – 23.8)	343	22.2 (19.7 – 25.0)	1519
Vaccine- preventable	18	0.8 (0.5 – 1.6)	17	1.2 (0.7 – 2.2)	15	0.7 (0.4 – 1.4)	24	1.1 (0.6 – 1.7)	18	0.9 (0.5 – 1.5)	25	1.0 (0.6 – 1.6)	117

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4

